### AIR CP\_102610102\_CP\_20160523\_INVESTIGATION\_1336503\_ **Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Investigation Report**

The TCEQ is committed to accessibility. If you need assistance in accessing this document, please contact oce@tceq.texas.gov

## Customer: Blue Ridge Landfill TX, LP Customer Number: CN602820599

Regulated Entity Name: BLUE RIDGE LANDFILL

Regulated Entity Number: RN102610102

Investigation # 1336503

**Incident Numbers** 

**Investigator:** 

GABRIELLE LAMOREAUX

Site Classification MAJOR SOURCE

Conducted: 05/23/2016 -- 05/23/2016

**NAIC Code: 562212** 

SIC Code: 1521 **SIC Code:** 4953

Program(s):

AIR OPERATING PERMITS

Investigation Type: Site Assessment

Location: LOCATED ON 2200 FM 521

Additional ID(s):

FG0536E

1472

Address: 2200 FM 521 RD,

FRESNO, TX, 77545

Local Unit: REGION 12 - HOUSTON

**Activity Type(s):** 

FIAIRODOR - AIR FIAIRODOR - FOC

INV ODOR SURVEY

Principal(s):

Role

Name

RESPONDENT

BLUE RIDGE LANDFILL TX LP

#### Contact(s):

Role	Title	Name	Phone	
REGULATED ENTITY CONTACT	LANDFILL OPERATION'S MANAGER	MR MATT MONTAGNA	Cell Fax Office	(832) 347-9496 (281) 835-6146 (281) 668-9739
REGULATED ENTITY CONTACT	ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGER	MR BURGESS STENGL	Office Cell	(713) 676-7669 (713) 851-0506

#### Other Staff Member(s):

Role

Name

Investigator **QA** Reviewer JUSTIN MAYWORN CORBETT BRINLY CORBETT BRINLY

Supervisor

**Associated Check List** 

Checklist Name

**Unit Name** 

AIR FOCUSED INVESTIGATON - ODOR SURVEY AIR INVESTIGATION - EQUIPMENT

site wide site wide

MONITORING AND SAMPLING revised 06/2013

5/23/2016 Inv. # - 1336503

#### Page 2 of 5

#### **Investigation Comments:**

INTRODUCTION/ INVESTIGATION SUMMARY

#### Introduction

On May 23, 2016, Ms. Gabrielle Lamoreaux and Mr. Justin Mayworn of the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) Houston Region Office conducted a focused odor survey investigation in the Shadow Creek Ranch Subdivision, in Pearland, and in Fort Bend, Brazoria, and Harris counties in the vicinity of Shadow Creek Ranch. The purpose of this investigation (Investigation Typecode FI AIR ODOR) was to survey the area for odor sources. The TCEQ investigators conducted odor surveys from May 23, 2016 through May 26, 2016.

#### **Investigation Narrative**

The investigation took place on May 23, 2016. The surrounding land use is a mix of residential, industrial, and commercial areas. The terrain is flat with sporadic forest. Meteorological conditions were as follows: Cloud Cover: Partly Cloudy; Temperature: 70° Fahrenheit; Wind Direction: East; Wind Speed: Approximately 0.0 miles per hour; Precipitation: 0.0 inches; Source of Meteorological Conditions: Weather Underground (KAXH). Wind data for each odor log was collected from the City of Pearland's water treatment plant.

The investigators arrived at Shadow Creek Ranch Subdivision at 0006 and surveyed the western side of the subdivision which is adjacent to a landfill (See attachment 1 – Map 1). No odors were detected. The investigators left the subdivision at 0050.

The investigators arrived at Green Valley Estates at 0055 and surveyed the area (See attachment 1- Map 2). No odors were detected. The investigators left the area at 0110.

The investigators arrived at West Drive at 0113 and surveyed the area along West Drive and Bluebonnet Drive (See attachment 1 – Map 3). A very light oil field odor was detected for 10 seconds followed by a very light soap odor that lasted only a few seconds. No other odors were detected. The investigators left the area at 0118.

The investigators arrived at the entrance to the Greater Houston Gun Club at 0122. The investigators detected a light to very light landfill gas odor. The landfill gas odor is similar to a petrochemical odor. The investigators conducted a 20 minute odor survey (See attachment 1 - Map 4; attachment 2 - Log 1). The strongest one minute odor intensity was moderate and the 10 minute weighted average intensity was light. During the odor survey, the wind speed was approximately 3 miles per hour and wind direction was east-southeast (See attachment 3). The survey was conducted approximately 6,000 feet northwest of Blue Ridge Landfill, which is the closest upwind potential source. The investigators left at 0147.

The investigators drove south on Almeda Road from Farm to Market (FM) 2234 Road to Broadway at 0155. A few whiffs of a light gas odor were detected. No other odors were detected. The investigators left Almeda Road at 0158.

The investigators arrived at Food Store parking lot at the intersection of FM 2234 Road and Park Manor Drive at 0200. The investigators detected a moderate landfill gas odor. The investigators conducted a 20 minute odor survey (See attachment 1 – Map 4; attachment 2 - Log 2). The strongest one minute odor intensity and the 10 minute weighted average intensity was moderate. During the odor survey, the wind speed was approximately 3 miles per hour and the wind direction was east-southeast (See attachment 3). The survey was conducted approximately 6,000 feet north-northwest of Blue Ridge Landfill, which is the closest upwind source. The investigators also detected whiffs of a sweet floral odor. The investigators left at 0223.

The investigators arrived at Reflection Bay Wastewater Treatment Plant at 0242. No odors were detected. The investigators left the site at 0243.

The investigators headed south on Trinity Bay Drive at 0244. The investigators continued south on Kingsley Drive to Broadway Street. No odors were detected. The investigators turned onto Broadway Street at 0251.

The investigators arrived at Ginger Cove Lane at 0329 and surveyed the area. No odors were detected. The investigators left the area at 0332.

The investigators arrived at the intersection of Cambridge Shores Lane and Cambridge Shores Court at 0338. The

5/23/2016 Inv. # - 1336503

#### Page 3 of 5

investigators conducted a 30 minute odor survey (See attachment 1 – Map 1; attachment 2 – Log 3). During the odor survey, the wind speed was approximately 2 miles per hour and the wind direction was east-southeast (See attachment 3). The survey was conducted approximately 6,000 feet east-northeast of Blue Ridge Landfill. No odors were detected. The investigators left the site at 0412.

The investigators arrived at the Food Store parking lot at the intersection of FM 2234 Road and Park Manor Drive at 0433. The investigators conducted a 30 minute odor survey (See attachment 1 – Map 4; attachment 2 – Log 4). During the odor survey, the wind speed was approximately 3 miles per hour and the wind direction was east-southeast (See attachment 3). The main odor detected was a sweet, floral, honeysuckle odor. The strongest one minute intensity and the 10 minute weighted average for the floral odor was moderate. The survey was conducted approximately 6,000 feet north-northwest of Blue Ridge Landfill, which is the closest upwind source. The investigators left the site at 0505.

The investigators arrived at the Greater Houston Gun Club parking lot at 0506. A strong to very strong landfill gas/ petrochemical odor was detected. The investigators conducted a 60 minute odor survey (See attachment 1 – Map 4; attachment 2 – Log 5). The strongest one minute intensity was very strong for one investigator and strong for the other. For one investigator the strongest 10 minute weighted average intensity was very strong and the 60 minute weighted average intensity was strong and the 60 minute weighted average intensity was moderate. At 0531, two 30 minute orifice flow controlled air samples were collected in stainless steel Summa canisters. During the odor survey, the wind speed was between 3 to 6 miles per hour and the wind direction was east-southeast (See attachment 3). The survey was conducted approximately 4,300 feet from Blue Ridge Landfill, which is the closest upwind source. The investigators left the site at 0610.

The investigators used a Multi-RAE gas monitor during the investigation. The Multi-RAE has alarms for high, low, short-term exposure limit (STEL), and the time-weighted average permissible exposure limit (TWA) levels. For H2S, high level is 20 parts per million (ppm), low level is 10 ppm, STEL is 15 ppm, and TWA is 10 ppm. For VOC, high is 100 ppm, low is 50 ppm, STEL is 25 ppm, and TWA is 10 ppm. None of the alarms went off during the investigation.

Two odors were detected during the odor surveys: the landfill gas and honeysuckle odors. According to the TCEQ Frequency, Intensity, Duration, and Offensiveness (FIDO) chart, the landfill gas odor is characterized as highly offensive. During the 60 minute odor survey, the strongest one minute intensity was very strong for one investigator and strong for the other. For one investigator the strongest 10 minute weighted average intensity was very strong and the 60 minute weighted average intensity was strong. For the other investigator the 10 minute weighted average intensity was moderate. The landfill gas odor was constant for the majority of the odor survey, but at the end the intensity varied from moderate to very light. The strongest sweet, floral, honeysuckle odor was moderate for one minute and for 10 minute weighted average. The honeysuckle odor was constant throughout the survey. Mr. Mayworn experienced mild nausea when the landfill gas odor was strong to very strong.

The presence and concentrations of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) were analyzed in the 30 minute orifice flow controlled air samples. The VOCs were either not detected or were detected below their respective short-term air monitoring comparison values. These levels of VOCs are not expected to cause short-term adverse health effects. However, the characteristics of the odor suggest that sulfur-based chemicals may be present. Sulfides cannot be measured from the canister samples.

**Exit Interview** 

An exit interview was not conducted.

GENERAL FACILITY AND PROCESS INFORMATION

**Process Description** 

Blue Ridge Landfill is a municipal solid waste landfill with a landfill gas collection and control system.

BACKGROUND

5/23/2016 Inv. # - 1336503

#### Page 4 of 5

Agreed Orders Court Orders, and Other Compliance Agreements

Based on review of CCEDS and the regional office files, there were no agreed orders, court orders, or other compliance agreements related to air quality violations for the site.

**Prior Enforcement Issues** 

Based on a review of CCEDS and the regional office files, no violations related to air quality were issued by the TCEQ to the alleged source during the past five years.

#### Complaints

Based on a review of CCEDS and regional office records, there have been hundreds of odor complaints received from within the survey area and numerous investigations related to odors. At the time of the review, multiple related odor investigations were being conducted by the Region 12 office.

#### ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Conclusions, Recommendations, and Current Enforcement Actions

Two odors were detected throughout the investigation. The Frequency, Intensity, Duration and Offensiveness (FIDO) chart categorizes the landfill gas odor as highly offensive and the sweet, floral, honeysuckle odor could be categorized as not unpleasant. A landfill gas/ petrochemical odor was detected during a 60 minute odor survey. During the survey, the strongest one minute intensity was very strong for one investigator and strong for the other. For one investigator the strongest 10 minute weighted average intensity was very strong and the 60 minute weighted average intensity was strong and the 60 minute weighted average intensity was moderate. Based on the odors and weather conditions (See attachment 3), the source of the odors was determined to be Blue Ridge Landfill.

#### Additional Issues

No additional issues were noted during this investigation.

#### REPORT ATTACHMENTS

- 1. Odor Survey Route Maps
- 2. Investigators' Odor Logs
- 3. Meteorological Data from City of Pearland

No Violations Associated to this Investigation

Signed Date 10/19/2016

Environmental Investigator

Date 10-21-2016

5/23/2016 Inv. # - 1336503

Page	5	of	5
Lago		VI.	

Attachments: (in order of final report submitt	al)
Enforcement Action Request (EAR)	1_Maps, Plans, Sketches
Letter to Facility (specify type) :	Photographs
Investigation Report	Correspondence from the facility
Sample Analysis Results	Other (specify):
Manifests	2. Investigators' Odor logs
Notice of Registration	3. Meterological Data

Investigation # 1336503

Blue Ridge Landfill

FG-0536-E

CN 602820599

RN 102610102

May 23, 2016

Attachment 1: Odor Survey Route Maps



Shadow Creek Ranch

Yellow line: Survey Route

Yellow dot: Third odor log

nvestigation Type: FI AIR ODOR
Air Account NO: PG-0536-E
Attachment:
Page:of



Green Valley Estates Yellow line: Survey Route

Investiga	ation T	ype:_	FI	AIR	ODOR
Air Acco	unt N	0: FC	7-0	636-	<u>-E</u>
Attachm	ent:	-1			
Page:_	2	_of_	4		



Farm to Market Road 2234

Yellow line: Survey Route

Red dot: canister sample

Yellow dots: Odor logs

Investigation Type: FI AIR ODOR
Air Account NO: FG-0536-E

Attachment:

Cage: 4 of 4



West Drive and Bluebonnet Drive Yellow line: Survey Route

Investig	ation	Type:	FII	AIR	6 POR
Air Acc	ount N	0: F	5-0	536	E
Attachn	nent:_				
Page:_	3	_of_	4	_	

Investigation # 1336503

Blue Ridge Landfill

FG-0536-E

CN 602820599

RN 102610102

May 23, 2016

Attachment 2: Investigators' Odor Logs

Investigator's Odor Intensity Time Log 5/23/20/ Start Time /2 Coay Date of Investigation: Name and Address of Alleged Source: Blue Ridge Investigator's Name: Print: Gabrielle Sign: ramorlae location Gwn Range Entrance Odor Intensity VL, L, M, S, VS Minutes Minutes Odor Intensity VL, L, M, S, VS 1:27 31 min 1 min 32 33 30 34 35 36 37 38 33 40 10 41 11 42 12 43 13 w 14 44 15 45 16 46 weighted 47 17: 18 48 19 20 very light 21 52 22 23 53 54 24 25 55 Investigation Type: FI ATR OPOR 26 Air Account NO: FG -Ø536 27 57 THUODOA TIA 2 58 28 Attachment: Attachment 29 59 10 Page:\_ Page: 60 Offensive Unpleasant Not Unpleasant Offensiveness: Highly weighted Dominant Odor Intensity For: average No Odor VS 1 Min 10 Min 1 Hour odor; eel/landfillgas

## (1)

## Investigator's Odor Intensity Time Log

Date of In	vestigation: 5/	23/16			Star	t Tin		01210	•	
Name and	Address of Alle	ged Source:	В	luc	R	t Tin	_			,
Investigate	or's Name: Print	Mary 61	n					gn:	6 1/2	
·								/	Tuge	>
Minutes	Odor Intensity VL, L	M S VS				Minu	tes	Odor Intens	sity VL, L, M, S	. vs
	L	,, 5,15				31 m				
1 min	4					32	101			
2	T					33				
3	1-									
4						34				
5	1					35				
6						36				
7						37				
8	_					38				
9	M					39				
10	W					40	-			
11	IAC					41				
12	=					42				
13						43	-			
14						44				
15	1					45				
16	-					46				
17	1					47				
18	<u>ر</u>					48				
19	<i>L</i>					49				
20						50				
21						51				
22						52	-			
23						53				
24						54				
25						55				
26	uvi noseoseseni					56		Inve	estigation Ty	pe: FE AFR O DO
27	TOM HIHOSO 4 VIA					57		Air	Assount NO	FG-0536-1
28	HaendoetA					58				1 2
29			- 5			59			chment:	
30	telled .					60		Pag	je: <u>2</u>	of
Offensive	ness: HighlyX	Offensiv	e		_Unp	leasa	ant _	Not	Unpleasar	nt
	der Intensity For: VS	S		M	,		L		VL	No Odor
1 Min					<b>V</b>					
10 Min								V		
1 Hour	k									

Landfill Gas

no odor light moderate  $(0 \times 2) + (2 \times 6) + (3 \times 2) = 18$ 

18 = (1.8) weighted average intensity for 10min

Investigator's Odor Intensity Time Log Oder logt AA Date of Investigation: 5/23/BOIL Start Time Blue Ridge Name and Address of Alleged Source: Investigator's Name: Print: Galarylle Sign: Laubreau Food Store Odor Intensity VL, L, M, S, VS Minutes Odor Intensity VL, L, M, S,VS Minutes 31 min 1 min 2 33 intersection 4 34 35 5 36 McHarl 37 Rel p 38 Park 39 10 40 Maner 11 41 42 12 43 13 NONCOP 14 44 15 45 46 16 weighted 47 17 average intensity 20 48 19 ` 49 50 Moderate. 22 52 53 23 24 54 55 FI AIR COOR Investigation Type: Investigation 56 Air Account NO: FG-0536-E 27 Air Account 57 58 Attachment: 28 10 Page: 3 59 60 Offensiveness: Highly\_ Offensive Unpleasant Not Unpleasant weighted Dominant Odor Intensity For: No Odor average 1 Min 10 Min 1 Hour GB = 29034'52. 496"N 6dor: Landfill gas 95° 27'43, 563" W

(ک	Date of In	vestigation: 5	he M		rt Time			
	Name and	Address of Alle	ged Source:	BR La	ws.al V		11	
		or's Name: Print		1	Si	ign: Art	Mes	•
Г	Minutes	Odor Intensity VL, L	., M, S,VS		Minutes	Odor Intensit	y VL, L, M, S, VS	
Ī	1 min	L			31 min			
-	2	M			32			
	3	W			33			
-	4	M			34			
1	5	M			35			
1	6	M			36			
1	7	M			37			
A	8	M	-		38		87	
V	9	M			39			
	10	L			40			
_	11	L			41			
	12	4			42			
(2.8)	13	VL			43			
	14	VL			44			
ed.	15	VL			45			
3-6	16	VL			46			
ed ge sity	17	Vh			47			
N	18	-		81	48			
rate	19	_			49			
	20				50			
	21				51			
	22				52			
	23				53			
	24				54			
	25				55			
		noungiteavol			56	Inv	estigation Tvg	e: FI AIR ODO
-		Linuoss AriA			57			FG-0536-E
	28	Meschinent			58		achment:	2
	29				59			
	30	==; <del>976</del> 9			60	Pa	ge: <del>\</del> c	10
nted	Offensiver	ness: Highly X	Offensive	Unj	pleasant	Not U	Jnpleasant _	
inted rage	1 Min	VS	S	M	L		VL	No Odor
	10 Min			1				
	1 Hour	A						
		Gus, Lone, Su	ivie	1	10 corth	m - 1	101	
	Tavel.	ous, Toneysu		(1)	* CACAL	Marin	- Me hard Dr	
				No	ON BC	/Vinnor	14	

Minutes	Odor Intensity VL, L, M, S,VS	Moreoux	Minutes	Odor Intensity VL, L, M, S, VS	Close Camb Sho Kowa
40 1 min			31 min	77.	- A
2			32		Camil
3			33		Sho
4	C		34		and
5	No. of Control of Cont		35		1 .
45 6			36		中
7	- Commerce	2 = 0	37		( 1
8		( Sou A	38	31	Lawbr
9		Coming	39		Cambr 590
10		Caming	40		
50 11			41		-au
12		MOV	42		Mec
13		10.	43		100 000
14		12 20	44		
15		] Omo	45		
55 16	· · ·		46		
17		1740F	47		
18		1 1 1	48		
19			49		
20			50	+1	1 ( )
100 21			51		MH
22			52		Pra
23		-	53		201
24		-	54		1 0
25	Inolegilesynt		55	Investigation	Type: FF F
OS 26	Air Account NC	-	56	Air Account N	
27	- Alkadimoni:	-	57		
28	_whomingship		58	Attachment:_	
2910			59	Page: 5	of 16
09 30		_	60		]
Offensive	enece: Highly \ Offer	ncive IInr	leasant	Not Unpleasant	
Dominant-G	dor Intensity For:				
Offensive	eness: Highly Offer	nsive Unp	leasant _	Not Unpleasant	No Odor/

delected

95°25'24.770'W (3'4)

# (3)

## Investigator's Odor Intensity Time Log

Investigat	Address of Allegor's Name: Print:	Mywo	yn Bl	S	ign:	to Hay	
Minutes	Odor Intensity VL, L	M.S.VS		Minutes	Odor Intens	sity VL, L, M, S, VS	
1 min				31 min			7
2	_			32			
3				33			
4	4			34			
5	4			35			
6	_			36			7
7	_			37			
8				38		φ;	-
9				39			
10	_			40			7
11				41			7
12	_			42			7
13	- :			43			
14				44			
15				45			
16	~			46			
17	-			47			
18	1			48			
19				49			
20				50			
21	_			51			
22	-			52			
23				53			
24				54			
25				55	4		TET
26 HU97	insp <del>res</del>			56	inv	estigation Type Account NO:	
27 M 1600				57	Air	Account NO:	FG-8
28 : Jiner				58		achment:	2
29				59	Pa	ge: 6 of	10
30				60			
Offensive	ness: Highly				Not		
4 Min	dor Intensity For:	S	M	L		VL.	No Odor
1 Min ·							V
	A						

Cambridge Shores Und Cambridge Shores Ct.

Moll	04 41	A Invest	igator	's 0	dor Inte	nsity T	ime Lo	$\mathbf{g}_{_{\mathcal{F}}}$	
		vestigation: 51					4:3		
	Date of In	Address of Alleg	ed Sour	ce:	BLO F	rt Time_		1 1	
	Investigate	or's Name: Print:	Galo	ne	life	Si	gn:	able	
			l.	eu	noreas		/		
10 	Minutes	Odor Intensity VL, L,	M, S,VS			Minutes	Odor Inter	nsity VL, L, M, S, V	s – 0
	1 min			#		31 min			1000
· 1/1	2			H	/ _ A	32			OFORE
0/-	3			林	WI GOOD	33			Palling
(1 × 1)	4			d.	O BROKE	34			Store Store Palling lotat
	5			M	(ASS)	35			111
+ to	6	~		H	0.0	36			Methand
36	7			X	· 0 1 0 1	37			- Rd b
6 × 0	8	71			MACHAI	38			- Parali
2	9			H	•	39			Medard Rd b Parhillana
uz	10	<u> </u>		11		40			- Inve
	11	1		7		41			
$\frac{1}{10} = (0.1)$	12			7	a (	42			MILL
	13			1		43			7,4000
weighted average	14			1.		44			- pac
average 50						46			ON
interval \$ 0	17	-				47			1
15	18	2				48			
no odor	19			#		49			
	20			丹		50			
65	21			H		51			
	22			H		52			11=
	23	,		4		53			H ADH.
	24			11		54			- nongine
(	25			1.		55			_
500	26 ~	iollegilauvril		世		56		Investigation T	ype: FI AIR ODOR
	27	miloopa 2		I	-	57			FG-0536-E
	28	Joseph State of the Control of the C		T 1		58		Attachment:	2
6.0	30 . 10	1909	. 6	H	_	59		Page: 7	of 10
54	30 -			V 1		60		raye	0 10
, 4	Offensiven	ess: Highly 🗸	Offens	sive	Unp	leasant _	Not	Unpleasant _	
00-01-00	Dominant Od	or Intensity For:	S		M	L		VL /	No Odor
average	-1 Min					(4)		V	
	10 Min	<u> </u>							V
L	Thou Jup	7							

Long Missonal



## **Investigator's Odor Intensity Time Log**

		Name: Print: May	y *** <u></u>		gn: ont Me	
	Minutes Odo	or Intensity VL, L, M, S,VS		Minutes	Odor Intensity VL, L, M, S, VS	
	1 min	M		31 min		
	2	M		32		
nz om vz	3	M		33		
A ha	4	M N		34		
<b>7 *V1</b>	l o	W		35		
7		1		36		ν.
	7 1	1		37		
	8 6	44		38		
		M		39		
	10	u,		40		
0.0		)		41		
	12	Û ·		42		:
		· ·		44		
	14 15	'n		45		
	10	M		46		
	17			47		
	18			48		İ
	19 M			49		
	20 V	-	ā)	50		
1	21	`		51		
1	22	1	Fu	52		
1	23 M	Α		53		
	24 M			54		
	25 <b>/</b>			55		
	26 M	raval		56 -	Investigation Type: Air Account NO:f	FI AIR
	27 M	Invasi Alt A		57	Air Account NO:	G-0530
	1 28   V		:	58	Attachment:	2
		Attach	-	59		
. 1	30 10 1	Paget	l	60	Page: 8 of	10
8 = (2.8)	Dominant Odor Int	Highly Offen	M,	leasant _	Not Unpleasant	No Odor
eig intech	1 Min		V/			
orage	1 Min 10 Min 1 Hour NA	- 1				
teriolity	NEX				For or Mc Hard Maror Dr.	

DOOR 1945A Investigator's Odor Intensity Time Log Date of Investigation: 5/23/2016 Start Time 5.08 Name and Address of Alleged Source: 3000 Investigator's Name: Print: Sign: amorew Odor Intensity VL, L, M, S, VS Minutes Odor Intensity VL, L, M, S, VS Minutes 1 min 10 34 Par 15 37 Field 38 Blank 39 1 N1977 40 10 11 Samples 50 20 12 43 13 taken N1086 45 5.31 46 16 am 55 10 min calc end 18 19 49 50 20 51 000 52 22 23 -53 54 55 26 1 1018 56 05 59 30 60 -Offensive Unpleasant Not Unpleasant Offensiveness: Highly Investigation Type: FT AIR ODOR Dominant Odor Intensity For: weignted Air Account NO: PG-0556-E 1 Min average 10 Min intensity Attachment: 1 Hour for 10 min

Strong

and the gass

29° 34'28,417" 95° 27'56 658 W

			or s outil in	tensity i	ime rog		
\$	Data of In	vestigation: 5/23/	16	Start Time	0507		
average 16min is	Name and	vestigation: 3/23/6 Address of Alleged Sc		Land of A		//	
9		or's Name: Print: Ma	Allod Mo	Si	gn: hend "	Mer	
B x B	-		V	1			_
- X -	Minutes	Odor Intensity VL, L, M, S,VS	3	Minutes	Odor Intensity VL	L.M.S.VS	
50 75	1 min	1.50		31 min	C	, 2, 111, 0, 10	1
interneight interneight		Xe	-		Ś		1
\$42	2	1/6		32	5		
	3	I/C	-	33	VS		-
(v)	4	/3		34	VS.		1
n	5	V 3	-	35			- Z
200	6	VS	-	36	VS		-
8 //	7	VS VS		37	2 2		
\$ 10/×	8		_	38	2		
) ×	9	VS	_	39	2		-
30	10	V5	-	40	40		-
	11	r_S		41	M		_
	12	vS		42	M		
60	13	1/3		43	W		
= 238	14	VS	_	44	5		
11	15	3		45	٤		
60	16	5		46	VS.		
200	17	5		47	M		
$(5 \times 37)$	18	V5		48	M		
(5)	19	US		49	VL		
	20	V5		50	VL		]
~ 5 5	21	2		51	M		
BG = 20	22	5.		52	\$		
Weigh Weigh Fensite Strong	23	V5		53	51,		
3 3 3 8	24	VS.		54	h		
The weighted intensity for strong	25	S		55	1.		1 0-0-0
(e) x	26	VS		56	VL In	vestigation 7	ype: FF AIR OD
1017	27	VS		57	VL Ai	r Account N	0: FG-Ø536-E
x (3)	28	VS		58		tachment:	2
J 57	29	5		59	V ,,		
+ 51	30	S		60	M	age: <u>l</u>	_orlo
x x 338	_ 50	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	00			4.
(2 × 2) (2 × 2) (60	Offensive	ness: Highly X Off	ensiye L	Inpleasant	Not Unp	leasant	
1 C	Dominant Oc	for Intensity-For: 1 OF 1010	ted avera	ge	VL		No Odor
4	1 Min. // 50	VS S	141		VL		10 0001
+	10 Min	√ Attaciume					
Ø ?	1 Hour	#	$\checkmark$				
Veg 19817 (1x 6) x	Claux	1	1	+111 400	•		
-	1 6 11-	In France	النطارا	Y 11/1 (10)	<b>\</b>		

Slouting range

Land+111 gas

Investigation # 1336503

Blue Ridge Landfill

FG-0536-E

CN 602820599

RN 102610102

May 23, 2016

Attachment 3: Meteorological Data from City of Pearland

		Temp	Hi	Low	Out	Dew	Wind	Wind
Date	Time	Out	Temp	Temp	Hum	Pt.	Speed	Dir
5/23/2016	12:00 AM	74.9	75	74.9	92	72.4	1 3	E
5/23/2016	12:15 AM	74.7	74.9	74.7	92	72.2	2 3	E
5/23/2016	12:30 AM	74.5	74.7	74.5	93	72.3	3 2	Ε
5/23/2016	12:45 AM	74.4	74.5	74.3	93	72.2	2 4	E
5/23/2016	1:00 AM	74.4	74.4	74.3	92	71.9	5	E
5/23/2016	1:15 AM	74.3	74.4	74.3	92	71.8	3 4	· E
5/23/2016	1:30 AM	74.1	74.3	74.1	92	71.6	5 3	ESE
5/23/2016	1:45 AM	73.9	74.1	73.9	92	71.4	1 3	ESE
5/23/2016	2:00 AM	73.5	73.9	73.5	93	71.4	1 3	ESE
5/23/2016	2:15 AM	73.3	73.5	73.3	94	71.5	5 3	ESE
5/23/2016	2:30 AM	73.2	73.3	73.2	94	71.4	1 4	ESE
5/23/2016	2:45 AM	73.1	73.2	73.1	94	71.3	3 4	ESE
5/23/2016	3:00 AM	72.9	73.1	72.9	95	71.4	1 3	ESE
5/23/2016	3:15 AM	72.7	72.9	72.7	95	71.2	2 3	ESE
5/23/2016	3:30 AM	72.7	72.7	72.6	95	71.2	2 2	ESE
5/23/2016	3:45 AM	72.8	72.8	72.7	95	71.3	3	E
5/23/2016	4:00 AM	72.9	72.9	72.8	95	71.4	1 2	ESE
5/23/2016	4:15 AM	72.8	73	72.8	95	71.3	3 2	ESE
5/23/2016	4:30 AM	72.8	72.8	72.7	96	71.6	5 3	ESE
5/23/2016	4:45 AM	72.7	72.8	72.7	96	71.5	5 3	ESE
5/23/2016	5:00 AM	72.6	72.7	72.6	96	71.4	1 3	E
5/23/2016	5:15 AM	72.5	72.7	72.5	96	71.3	3	E
5/23/2016	5:30 AM	72.3	72.5	72.3	96	71.1	L 4	E
5/23/2016	5:45 AM	72.5	72.5	72.3	96	71.3	3 4	ESE
5/23/2016	6:00 AM	72.7	72.7	72.5	95	71.2	2 6	ESE
5/23/2016	6:15 AM	72.7	72.8	72.7	95	71.2	2 5	ESE
5/23/2016	6:30 AM	72.6	72.7	72.6	95	71.1	. 5	ESE

	Investigation Type: FI AIR OPC	12
	Air Account NO: FG-0536-E	
atp T = lit	Attachment: 3	
	Page: of \	
	Madin	